The Connectivity Correlation: A Comprehensive Analysis of Wi-Fi Quality and the Economics of Rating Inflation in Short-Term Rentals

The evolution of the short-term rental (STR) marketplace has transitioned from a niche sharing economy experiment to a multi-billion-dollar global industry characterized by professionalized hospitality and rigorous algorithmic oversight. Within this paradigm, the provision of digital infrastructure—specifically high-quality, reliable Wi-Fi—has emerged as a primary determinant of listing performance, guest satisfaction, and financial sustainability. While early iterations of the peer-to-peer (P2P) model focused on the "authenticity" of the host-guest encounter, the modern traveler, influenced by the exigencies of remote work and the pervasive nature of the digital lifestyle, now evaluates accommodations through a utility-first lens. The correlation between suboptimal internet performance and the "four-star review" (often viewed by guests as a "good" rating but by the platform as a signal of failure) represents a critical juncture in the economics of the platform economy.[1]

The Structural Mechanics of the Airbnb Rating System

To understand why a minor technical failure like sluggish Wi-Fi can lead to a disproportionate drop in revenue and visibility, one must first deconstruct the underlying mechanics of the Airbnb feedback loop. The rating system is not a neutral reflection of guest sentiment; it is a highly calibrated algorithmic tool that dictates market equilibrium. Unlike traditional hospitality metrics, where a four-star rating might indicate a high-quality establishment, the internal logic of the P2P marketplace operates on a near-binary scale: 5.0 stars signify success, while anything less signals varying degrees of dissatisfaction that can jeopardize a listing's survival.[1]

The Disconnect Between Guest Perception and Platform Reality

A fundamental tension exists between how guests interpret a five-point scale and how the Airbnb algorithm processes that data. Many guests, adhering to conventional standards seen in film or product reviews, view four stars as indicative of a "great but not perfect" stay.[1] However, on platforms like Airbnb and Uber, a 4.0 average is a terminal score that often results in the removal of the listing or service provider from the platform. Hosts are frequently "gutted" by receiving 4-star reviews accompanied by glowing written feedback such as "impeccable" or "fantastic".[2] This dissonance creates a "social credit system" where the host is punished for a guest's honesty or their lack of understanding regarding platform consequences.[2]

Rating Score	Guest Interpretation	Platform Algorithmic Response
5.0 Stars	Exceptional / Above and Beyond	Rewards with high visibility and search ranking.
4.0 Stars	Good / Met Expectations	Penalizes with a drop in average; risks visibility loss.
3.0 Stars	Average / Acceptable	High risk of listing suspension or removal.
1.0 - 2.0 Stars	Poor / Unacceptable	Immediate intervention; likely removal from platform.

Research indicates that approximately 95% of Airbnb listings maintain an average rating of 4.5 to 5.0 stars, a distribution that is significantly higher than traditional hotel platforms like TripAdvisor, where the average is closer to 3.8 stars.[6] This inflation is driven by "socially induced reciprocity," where the personal contact between host and guest creates an uncomfortable environment for negative feedback.[7] Consequently, when a guest leaves a 4-star review, it is often a deliberate attempt to signal a specific deficiency, such as Wi-Fi quality, that they feel unable to overlook despite an otherwise positive experience.[9]

The Sub-Category Cascade: How Wi-Fi Hits the Scorecard

The overall rating is derived from six specific sub-categories: Accuracy, Check-in, Cleanliness, Communication, Location, and Value.[4] Internet quality disproportionately impacts the "Accuracy" and "Value" scores. If a listing promises "high-speed Wi-Fi" but delivers a connection that cannot support a standard video conference, the guest perceives a breach of the "Accuracy" metric.[11] This dissatisfaction then bleeds into the "Value" category, as the guest feels the price paid did not match the utility received.

Sub-Category	Sensitivity to Wi-Fi Quality	Impact Logic
Accuracy	High	Guests penalize listings that fail to deliver advertised speeds.

Value	High	Poor connectivity reduces the perceived "bang for the buck."
Communication	Moderate	Wi-Fi issues often trigger high-frequency messaging; delays hurt score.
Location	Low	Generally unrelated unless the area has poor cell/data coverage.
Cleanliness	None	Unrelated, though poor tech can signal general property neglect.
Check-in	Low	Impacted if smart locks or instructions require stable Wi-Fi.

The mathematical weight of these sub-ratings is significant. A host must essentially maintain a perfect 30-star aggregate across these categories to ensure a consistent 5.0 overall rating.[10] A single 4-star mark in "Accuracy" due to Wi-Fi lag can drag the overall stay to a 4-star rating, which subsequently impacts the host's cumulative average and their ability to maintain Superhost status, which requires a minimum 4.8 average.[4]

The Evolution of Connectivity as a Fundamental Necessity

The role of Wi-Fi in the hospitality experience has shifted from a discretionary amenity to a fundamental utility, comparable to electricity or running water. Industry data suggests that 85% of business travelers and an overwhelming majority of leisure guests consider reliable internet a non-negotiable requirement.[14] On the Airbnb platform specifically, the "Wi-Fi" filter was engaged more than 288 million times in 2021 alone, signaling a massive market demand that dictates booking patterns.[16]

The Rise of the Remote Work and Digital Nomad Segments

The COVID-19 pandemic catalyzed a permanent shift toward remote work, leading to the rise of the "digital nomad" and the "anywhere worker." For these guests, the quality of the Wi-Fi is

not merely about entertainment; it is the infrastructure of their livelihood. A connection drop during a high-stakes client call or a failure to upload critical data is not viewed as a minor inconvenience but as a catastrophic service failure.[19]

Digital nomads frequently use their own productivity as a metric for the stay. If a host advertises a "dedicated workspace" but provides unstable Wi-Fi, the nomad experiences "work time lost," leading them to seek refunds and leave critical reviews.[19] This demographic is also the most likely to be "brave" and "honest" in their reviews, viewing themselves as part of a collective that must warn others of "shitty, lying listings" that exaggerate their connectivity capabilities.[21]

Connectivity Thresholds and Guest Expectations

There is a measurable discrepancy between what a host defines as "Wi-Fi" and what a guest requires for modern software applications. The following table identifies the specific speed brackets now used by platforms to categorize internet quality and the corresponding guest activities they support.[3]

Speed Bracket	Activity Support	Risk of Lowered Review
No Speed Shown	Unknown utility; guests often skip during filtering.	High (due to lack of trust).
1-6 Mbps	Basic email and messaging only.	Very High; unacceptable for 90% of modern users.
7-24 Mbps	HD video streaming; simple web browsing.	High; will struggle with multiple devices or 4K content.
25-49 Mbps	4K streaming; stable video calls (Zoom/Teams).	Moderate; baseline for 5-star "Value" perception.
50+ Mbps	Multi-device 4K streaming; professional remote work.	Low; fulfills the "Accuracy" promise for most.
200-500+ Mbps	Ultra-high performance; smart home ecosystem support.	Lowest; often leads to "Exceeded Expectations" comments.

While the FCC standard for broadband is 25 Mbps, guests originating from urban centers or

professional environments often expect speeds in the 100+ Mbps range. Providing only the bare minimum often leads to a "four-star" outcome because the experience met the basic requirement but did not "exceed" it, which is the psychological bar for a five-star rating.[4]

Quantifying the Economic Impact of Connectivity-Driven Ratings

The relationship between star ratings and revenue is non-linear and characterized by a "winner-take-all" dynamic at the top of the scale. Data from AirDNA indicates that even a minute shift in a listing's average rating—such as from a 4.75 to a 4.85—can have a dramatic impact on nightly rates and occupancy.[4]

The Revenue Differential and RevPAR Analysis

The financial penalty for dropping from a perfect 5.0 to a 4.7 average is substantial. For large portfolios, this "rating gap" can translate to hundreds of thousands of dollars in lost annual bookings.[23] Analysis of Revenue Per Available Rental (RevPAR) demonstrates that listings at the 4.9-star threshold earn significantly more than those just slightly below.[4]

Rating Tier	Occupancy Lift	ADR (Avg Daily Rate) Lift	RevPAR Impact
4.9 - 5.0 Stars	+4%	+11%	$143 / night
4.7 - 4.8 Stars	Baseline	Baseline	$110 / night
Below 4.5 Stars	Significant Drop	Significant Drop	<$100 / night

Statistically, a 0.1-star increase in the overall rating adds approximately $3.56 to the nightly rate. When combined with a 0.1-star increase in cleanliness (often correlated with general property maintenance), a host can see an extra $200 per month in revenue.[4] Conversely, a series of 4-star reviews triggered by poor Wi-Fi will quietly "hold a listing back," reducing its search visibility and removing the host's ability to charge premium rates during peak seasons.[4]

The "Review Cliff" and Search Visibility

Research from firm Intellihost identifies a phenomenon known as the "Airbnb Review Cliff." While visibility in search results declines gradually as a score drops from 5.0 to 4.5, a dramatic "visibility cliff" occurs once a listing falls below 4.4 stars.[25] At this point, the likelihood of appearing on the first page of search results plummets by more than 10%, creating a feedback loop where fewer bookings lead to fewer chances to "fix" the rating with new 5-star

reviews.[25]

Rating Range	Impact on First-Page (FP) Impressions	Risk Level
4.8 - 5.0	High Visibility; eligible for "Guest Favorite" status.	Optimal
4.5 - 4.7	Gradual decline (approx. 1% drop per 0.1 star).	Warning
Below 4.4	**Dramatic Drop (The "Cliff"); >10% loss in visibility.**	**Critical Failure**

Wi-Fi quality is one of the few "fixable" amenities that can prevent a listing from sliding toward this cliff. Unlike location (which is immutable) or property size (which is fixed), internet infrastructure is a capital-light investment that provides a high return on investment (ROI) in terms of rating protection.[3]

Evidence of Impact: Sentiment Analysis and Behavioral Trends

Beyond the quantitative star ratings, the "damage" of poor Wi-Fi is evident in the sentiment expressed in written reviews. Modern sentiment analysis tools, when applied to large datasets from cities like Porto, Sydney, and various German metropolises, reveal that users' written grievances carry more weight in the platform's pricing algorithm than the raw numbers.[6]

Sentiment vs. Star Rating: The Qualitative Toll

The Porto study, analyzing over 250,000 reviews, concluded that the nature of sentiment expressed in reviews exerts a stronger influence on the host's ability to set higher prices than the quantitative review metrics themselves.[26] If a review says "The place was clean, but the Wi-Fi was unusable for work," future guests—particularly high-value business travelers—will skip that listing even if the star rating is a 4.8.[15] This demonstrates that Wi-Fi quality acts as a "binary filter" for a significant portion of the market.[9]

The "Hygiene Factor" and Asymmetric Effects

In hospitality service quality (SQ) literature, amenities like Wi-Fi are often classified as "hygiene factors" or "must-be" requirements. Their presence does not necessarily lead to

high satisfaction (5 stars), but their absence or poor quality leads to significant dissatisfaction.[14] A study conducted in Sydney using text mining found that "amenities" and "host" were the key attributes driving negative sentiment, even though "price" was not identified as a key influencer of satisfaction.[27] This suggests that guests are willing to pay a premium but are fundamentally intolerant of failures in basic infrastructure like connectivity.

Amenity Category	Psychological Role (Kano Model)	Review Outcome of Failure
High-Speed Wi-Fi	Hygiene Factor / Basic Expectation	4-Star "Met Expectations" or lower.
Hot Tubs / Pools	Delighter / Excitement Factor	5-Star "Exceeded Expectations."
Cleanliness	Basic Expectation	Immediate 1-3 star failure.
Host Communication	Performance Factor	Direct correlation with star count.

This asymmetry is critical: you cannot "buy" your way out of poor Wi-Fi with a nice view or a welcome basket. Once the "hygiene" of the stay is compromised by a lack of connectivity, the guest's perception of the stay shifts from "guest in a home" to "customer of a failed service".[7]

Technical Solutions and Platform-Endorsed Verification

The platform's response to the Wi-Fi "crisis" has been to move toward transparency and verification. Airbnb's "Verified Wi-Fi" tool allows hosts to test their connection via the app and display the result as a trusted badge.[3]

The ROI of Verified Speed

Transparency regarding speed acts as a shield against retaliatory 4-star reviews. When a host displays a verified speed of "50 Mbps," they are setting a definitive expectation. If a guest arrives and finds the speed matches the listing, they are far less likely to penalize the host for "Accuracy," even if they personally found it slower than their home connection.[3]

Furthermore, research on "Airbnb Plus" and "Guest Favorite" badges shows that platform-endorsed quality certification significantly increases booking rates—about 6.8% for

Plus listings.[30] The "Guest Favorite" badge, which is awarded to the top 1%, 5%, and 10% of homes, relies heavily on reliability data, including the lack of customer service issues related to amenities like Wi-Fi.[24]

Metric	Non-Verified Wi-Fi	Verified Wi-Fi / Guest Favorite
Booking Inquiry Lift	Baseline	+340% (with professional photos) [32]
Repeat Booking Rate	12%	34% [32]
Avg. Rating	4.2	4.9 [32]
Search Ranking	Variable	Prioritized [31]

Advanced Technical Implementation for Hosts

Professional hosts are increasingly moving away from consumer-grade "off-the-shelf" routers toward enterprise-grade or mesh solutions. Systems like NETGEAR Orbi or enterprise mesh networks ensure that the "verified speed" is available in every "square inch" of the property, including outdoor spaces and basements.[3]

Key technical strategies for rating protection include:

1. **Dual-Band Management:** Prioritizing the 5GHz band for speed and 2.4GHz for range to avoid congestion.[3]
2. **Separate Guest Networks:** Ensuring that the host's own data use or smart home devices do not throttle the guest's bandwidth.[3]
3. **Remote Management:** Utilizing routers that allow hosts to reboot the system or check status remotely, preventing a "Wi-Fi is down" crisis from escalating while the host is away.[3]
4. **No-Contract Backup:** Using 4G/5G mobile hotspots as a secondary failover in case the primary DSL or cable line fails, thereby avoiding the dreaded "no Wi-Fi" 1-star review.[3]

Theoretical Framework: Information Asymmetry and Signaling

The relationship between Wi-Fi and ratings can be interpreted through "Signaling Theory," which posits that in a market characterized by information asymmetry (where the buyer cannot fully evaluate the product before purchase), the seller must provide "signals" of

quality.[6]

Trust Signals and Pareto Optimization

A listing's photos, review count, and Wi-Fi speed test are all "organic trust signals." Research indicates that the impact of these signals is often greater than platform-bestowed badges like "Superhost".[34] This is because a guest views "Superhost" as a general indicator of effort, but a "50 Mbps Verified Speed" as a specific indicator of utility.[6]

In economic terms, the interaction between host and guest aims for "Pareto optimization," a state where both parties achieve the best possible outcome without making the other worse off.[34] When a host fails to provide adequate Wi-Fi, the guest's "cost" of the stay increases (due to stress or lost work), leading them to "correct" the equilibrium by lowering the star rating. This lowering of the rating is a corrective mechanism that reduces the host's future "price premium," effectively taxing them for the information asymmetry they exploited by advertising poor Wi-Fi as "good".[6]

Policy, Legalities, and Review Management

The high stakes of the 5-star rating have led to a contentious relationship between hosts and the platform's review policies. Because a 4-star review can be so damaging, some guests have begun using the threat of a bad review—including complaints about Wi-Fi—as a form of blackmail to extort refunds or free stays.[4]

Dealing with Retaliatory and False Reviews

Airbnb's policy prohibits "biased or inauthentic reviews as a form of retaliation".[36] However, proving that a Wi-Fi complaint is "false" is notoriously difficult for hosts. A guest can claim the "Wi-Fi was slow" even if the host has evidence of a working connection, because "slowness" is subjective.[19] This ambiguity is why many hosts are now filming video evidence of speed tests on the day of checkout to defend against fraudulent claims.[36]

Review Scenario	Host Recourse	Airbnb Removal Likelihood
Proven Blackmail	Provide screenshots of DMs demanding money for a 5-star review.	High
Factual Lie	Provide proof (e.g., guest says "no Wi-Fi" when it was working).	Moderate (if provable)

Subjective Grievance	Guest says "Wi-Fi was too slow for my specific needs."	Very Low
Policy Violation	Review contains profanity or private host info.	High

Hosts are generally advised to respond to 4-star Wi-Fi reviews with extreme professionalism, addressing the technical steps taken to resolve the issue (e.g., "We have since upgraded to fiber-optic") to signal to future guests that the problem is solved.[4]

Regional and Market-Specific Variations in Wi-Fi Importance

The value of connectivity is not uniform across all geographies or listing types. For example, while US travelers rank "comfort" (AC) over "connectivity" (Wi-Fi) in some surveys, travelers in India, Brazil, and Italy often rank amenities—including internet—as the single most important factor in vacation quality.[37]

Urban vs. Rural vs. Leisure Markets

In urban "business hubs" like New York or London, Wi-Fi is a prerequisite for entry. In "leisure destinations" like Bali or Phuket, Wi-Fi is still a major driver of satisfaction, but guests may have a slightly higher tolerance for intermittent issues if the "host service quality" is exceptional.[38] However, the data from Bali shows that even in emerging markets, "valence" (the positivity/negativity of reviews) and "volume" are the primary quality signals influencing future booking intentions.[38]

Market Type	Wi-Fi Criticality	Primary User Profile	Rating Risk
Urban/Corporate	Essential	Business Traveler / Nomad	Immediate 4-star for lag.
Mountain/Ski	High	Families / Remote workers	Expected for evening streaming.
Coastal/Leisure	Moderate	Tourists / Couples	Low, unless social media upload fails.

Off-Grid/Unique	Low	Adventure seekers	Lowest, but must be clearly disclosed.

Surprisingly, in "unique stays" like treehouses or shipping containers, guests are more willing to overlook poor connectivity, but *only if expectations are set in the listing title*.[24] If a shipping container is marketed as a "Digital Nomad Nest," the Wi-Fi must be flawless, or the host risks a "rating cliff" regardless of the property's novelty.[24]

Conclusion: The Path Forward for Hosts and the Platform

The research and data clusters provided establish a definitive, causal relationship between Wi-Fi quality and Airbnb star ratings. Poor Wi-Fi does not merely lead to "unhappy guests"; it triggers a specific algorithmic penalty—the 4-star review—that has a quantifiable impact on RevPAR, search visibility, and listing longevity.[4]

As the platform moves toward the "Guest Favorite" and "Global Quality" standards, the margin for error on basic amenities is shrinking. The average rating of 4.75 is now the "survival baseline," and achieving the 4.9+ "success tier" requires a professional approach to digital infrastructure.[4] For the modern host, the "Wi-Fi router" is as much a tool of hospitality as the "clean sheet" or the "warm welcome." Those who treat it as an afterthought will continue to find themselves penalized by the "binary" nature of the rating system, while those who invest in verified, high-speed connectivity will secure a competitive advantage that translates directly into higher ADR and sustained occupancy.[3]

The future of the STR market lies in the elimination of the "connectivity surprise." Through verified speed tests, professional-grade hardware, and transparent communication, hosts can decouple their reputation from technical volatility and ensure that their reviews reflect the "delight" of the stay rather than the "frustration" of the lag.[3]

Works cited

1. Guest left a lovely written review then gave 4 stars with private feedback that the bed was too small... ugh : r/AirBnB - Reddit, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/AirBnB/comments/pv780f/guest_left_a_lovely_written_review_then_gave_4/
2. Is a 4 star review considered a "bad" review? : r/AirBnB - Reddit, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/AirBnB/comments/13jwt8s/is_a_4_star_review_considered_a_bad_review/
3. Airbnb WiFi Guide: Setup, Speed & Devices | Guesty, accessed on February 7,

2026, https://www.guesty.com/blog/wifi-for-airbnb/
4. Airbnb Ratings Explained and Why 4 Stars Doesn't Cut It - AirDNA, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.airdna.co/blog/hosts-guide-to-airbnb-ratings
5. Guest gave us 4 stars: What would you do in this situation? : r/airbnb_hosts - Reddit, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/airbnb_hosts/comments/1khseod/guest_gave_us_4_stars_what_would_you_do_in_this/
6. QUALITY SIGNALS ON AIRBNB: A HEDONIC REGRESSION APPROACH, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.hsu-hh.de/fgvwl/wp-content/uploads/sites/572/2021/05/WP189.pdf
7. arXiv:2004.11604v1 [cs.CY] 24 Apr 2020 - Daniele Quercia, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://researchswinger.org/publications/social_interactions_20.pdf
8. Neutrality May Matter: Sentiment Analysis in Reviews of Airbnb, Booking, and Couchsurfing in Brazil and USA - DCC/UFMG, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.dcc.ufmg.br/~fabricio/download/santos2020Snam.pdf
9. What do ratings mean? : r/AirBnB - Reddit, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/AirBnB/comments/ndfx37/what_do_ratings_mean/
10. Re: Is the Review scoring system work correctly? - Page 4 - Airbnb Community, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://community.withairbnb.com/t5/Support-with-your-bookings/Is-the-Review-scoring-system-work-correctly/m-p/843093
11. How to get 5-star reviews and use Airbnb template messages - AutoRank, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.autorank.com/blog/how-to-get-5-star-reviews-on-airbnb
12. Airbnb WiFi - Verify your Internet Speed and Attract more Guests, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.netgear.com/no/blog/home/airbnb-wifi-speed-verify/
13. How to rank higher on Airbnb | Guesty, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.guesty.com/guide/how-to-rank-higher-on-airbnb/
14. Maximizing Guest Satisfaction Through Superior Hotel WiFi – Advanced Hospitality Technologies Blog, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://advhtech.com/blog/maximizing-guest-satisfaction-through-superior-hotel-wifi/
15. Airbnb's Impact on the Hotel Industry: Insights From 1000 Travelers ..., accessed on February 7, 2026, https://listwithclever.com/research/airbnb-vs-hotels-study/
16. Airbnb will now let hosts verify their WiFi speed before any stay | Mashable, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://mashable.com/article/airbnb-verified-wifi
17. Airbnb research shows Kiwis are set to live anywhere, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://news.airbnb.com/en-au/airbnb-research-shows-kiwis-are-set-to-live-anywhere/
18. Introducing 50+ upgrades and innovations across our entire service - Airbnb Newsroom, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://news.airbnb.com/en-in/introducing-50-upgrades-and-innovations-across-our-entire-service/

19. Do you ask for refunds for bad Wi-Fi at an Airbnb? : r/digitalnomad - Reddit, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/digitalnomad/comments/168k97y/do_you_ask_for_refunds_for_bad_wifi_at_an_airbnb/
20. Top Airbnb Criteria for Digital Nomads: Tips for a Perfect Stay - Lemon8, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.lemon8-app.com/jessicafaye508/7289495674662420998?region=us
21. Leave honest Airbnb reviews: a rallying cry. : r/digitalnomad - Reddit, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/digitalnomad/comments/1m4kce2/leave_honest_airbnb_reviews_a_rallying_cry/
22. I come here to beg you to give your shitty, lying airbnb hosts one star reviews - Reddit, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/digitalnomad/comments/15wbt42/i_come_here_to_beg_you_to_give_your_shitty_lying/
23. How to Maintain 4.9 Star Airbnb Reviews Across Large Portfolios - Freewyld Foundry, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://freewyldfoundry.com/4-9-star-airbnb-teviews/
24. How to Earn the Airbnb Guest Favorites Badge - AirDNA, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.airdna.co/blog/new-airbnb-guest-favorites-badge
25. The Real Reason Airbnb and Vrbo Star Ratings Are So High, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.fodors.com/news/hotels/the-real-reason-airbnb-and-vrbo-star-ratings-are-so-high
26. How Do Reviews Impact Airbnb's Prices? A Hedonic Approach - MDPI, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2673-5768/6/4/181
27. What do Airbnb users care about? An analysis of online review comments, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://research-repository.griffith.edu.au/server/api/core/bitstreams/3c128ecf-e2c5-4c6a-bc9a-1aa6909ae7d1/content
28. Evaluating Airbnb's Preferred Features | Liam Kettle, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://liamkettle.com/project/airbnb-algorithm/
29. Exploring Airbnb service quality attributes and their asymmetric effects on customer satisfaction, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://ira.lib.polyu.edu.hk/bitstream/10397/104794/1/Choi_Exploring_Airbnb_Service.pdf
30. Economic Impacts of Platform-Endorsed Quality Certification: Evidence from Airbnb1 - MIS Quarterly, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://misq.umn.edu/misq/article/47/3/1353/2247/Economic-Impacts-of-Platform-Endorsed-Quality
31. Our commitment to providing the highest quality stays, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://news.airbnb.com/airbnb-global-quality-report/
32. 6 Airbnb Mistakes by Alexandria Vacation Rental Manager, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://harmonizedgetaways.com/alexandria-vacation-rental-manager/
33. Airbnb host reviews: Improve your listing accuracy and earn more 5-star reviews,

accessed on February 7, 2026, https://rentalsunited.com/blog/improve-airbnb-listing-accuracy-and-earn-more-5-star-reviews/
34. REDUCING INFORMATION ASYMMETRY: ECONOMIC VALUE OF AIRBNB SIGNALS IN A SMALL COLLEGE TOWN - Scholar Commons, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=9167&context=etd
35. Solved: When guests lie and Review Guidelines - Airbnb Community, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://community.withairbnb.com/t5/Support-with-your-bookings/When-guests-lie-and-Review-Guidelines/m-p/450867
36. How a 1 star untrue retaliatory review can be allo... - Airbnb Community, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://community.withairbnb.com/t5/Support-with-your-bookings/How-a-1-star-untrue-retaliatory-review-can-be-allowed-to-ruin-an/m-p/1997466
37. Amenities Do Matter: Airbnb Reveals Which Amenities Travelers Value Most, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://news.airbnb.com/amenities-do-matter-airbnb-reveals-which-amenities-guests-search-for-most/
38. Booked or overlooked? Investigating quality signals in Airbnb reviews - Diva-portal.org, accessed on February 7, 2026, http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1962794/FULLTEXT01.pdf
39. Service quality, satisfaction and customer loyalty in Airbnb accommodation in Thailand - University of Bristol Research Portal, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://research-information.bris.ac.uk/files/120386523/Service_quality_satisfaction_and_customer_loyalty_in_Airbnb_accommodation_in_Thailand_Priporas_Stylos_Vedanthachari_Santiwatana.pdf
40. How to Get More Bookings on Airbnb with Winter Amenities - AirDNA, accessed on February 7, 2026, https://www.airdna.co/blog/how-to-get-more-bookings-on-airbnb